Structural foundations of optogenetics: Determinants of channelrhodopsin ion selectivity
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The structure-guided design of chloride-conducting channelrhodopsins has illuminated mechanisms underlying ion selectivity of this remarkable family of light-activated ion channels. The first generation of chloride-conducting channelrhodopsins, guided in part by development of a structure-informed electrostatic model for pore selectivity, included both the introduction of amino acids with positively charged side chains into the ion conduction pathway and the removal of residues hypothesized to support negatively charged binding sites for cations. Engineered channels indeed became chloride selective, reversing near −65 mV and enabling a new kind of optogenetic inhibition; however, these first-generation chloride-conducting channels displayed small photocurrents and were not tested for optogenetic inhibition of behavior. Here we report the validation and further development of the channelrhodopsin pore model via crystal structure-guided engineering of next-generation light-activated chloride channels (iCChR) and a bistable variant (SwiChR++) with net photocurrents increased more than 15-fold under physiological conditions, reversal potential further decreased by another ∼15 mV, inhibition of spiking faithfully tracking chloride gradients and intrinsic cell properties, strong expression in vivo, and the initial microbial opsin channel-inhibitor–based control of freely moving behavior. We further show that inhibition by light-gated chloride channels is mediated mainly by shunting effects, which exert optogenetic control much more efficiently than the hyperpolarization induced by light-activated chloride pumps. The design and functional features of these next-generation chloride-conducting channelrhodopsins provide both chronic and acute timescale tools for reversible optogenetic inhibition, confirm fundamental predictions of the ion selectivity model, and further elucidate electrostatic and steric structure–function relationships of the light-gated pore.

Significance

Channelrhodopsins are membrane proteins that enable cellular control of transmembrane ion conductance through light-gated pores; these proteins have found application in optogenetics. This paper tests the hypothesis that selectivity of channelrhodopsins is determined by surface potential of the pore region: Cations are conducted by a negatively charged pore, and chloride ions are conducted by a pore that has neutral and positively charged residues. In confirming this hypothesis and applying the resulting principles, we engineer improved chloride-conducting channels with higher chloride selectivity and conductivity. We also provide insights into the distinct mechanisms underlying inhibition mediated by higher-efficiency chloride channels compared with ion pumps. Finally, we demonstrate initial utility of light-gated microbial opsin-based chloride channels in controlling behavior of freely moving animals.
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Results
Structural Determinants of Chloride Selectivity in Channelrhodopsins.

Previous work showed that iC1C2 (engineered using a crystal structure-based electrostatic pore model) had higher chloride selectivity and conductivity at lower pH (8). This pH effect was suggested to be caused by protonation within the pore and more positive local electrostatic potential, indicating that it might be possible to enhance chloride flux at physiological pH further by further developing the electrostatic selectivity hypothesis (8). To test this concept, we began by introducing a number of additional point mutations to iC1C2, specifically targeting residues in the ion-conduction pathway to enhance chloride flux guided by our model; reversal potential (V_{rev}) and photocurrent size were tracked for all variants (Fig. 1 A–D) in cultured hippocampal neurons using whole-cell patch-clamp recordings.

The largest shift in V_{rev} was seen with E83 mutations, but these also drastically reduced neuronal input resistance (R_{in}) (Fig. 1C), as was consistent with creation of a leak current. We observed that E83 is positioned close to R134 in iC1C2, which had been a histidine in the parental C1C2 chimera (Fig. 1E and F) and indeed has been conserved as a histidine in both wild-type channelrhodopsins 1 and 2. Because both E83 and R134 were shown to be inner pore-gate residues in the C1C2 structure (7), we considered the possibility that a disruptive interaction had been created here by joint mutation at these two residues. Indeed, the longer arginine side chain (compared with histidine) could, in principle, interfere with channel closure of iC1C2-E83X mutants, increasing leak currents in the dark and leading to reduced R_{in} for these otherwise interesting E83 variants. Therefore we tested reversion of this arginine back to the histidine that is present in wild-type channelrhodopsins; as hypothesized (and as was consistent with our structural model), R134H increased R_{in} and photocurrent size of the iC1C2-E83X mutations. E83N then was carried through to our final construct here along with R134H (Fig. 1A–C).

Next, chloride selectivity was enhanced further with structure-guided pore replacement of two additional lysines by higher-pK_a arginines (K117R and K242R) and replacement of a second negatively charged amino acid of the inner pore exit with a neutral amino acid (E273S, similar in expected electrostatic effect to E83N). Finally, replacement of serine 90 in iC1C2 with glutamine further hyperpolarized the V_{rev}, the final construct (the sequence is shown in SI Appendix, Fig. S1) displayed a significantly negatively shifted V_{rev}, larger photocurrent size at the action potential (V_{AP}) threshold, and larger R_{in} compared with iC1C2 (Fig. 1A–D). Because this mutant showed substantially improved properties in all dimensions, we denote the variant iC++.

Fig. 1. Design and characterization of iC++ in cultured neurons of rat hippocampus. (A) V_{rev} of iC1C2 mutations compared with chloride-conducting channelrhodopsins at an intracellular chloride concentration of 4 mM. ENI/QC: E83N/Q/C; ES: E273S; RH: R134H; SQ: S90Q; 2KR: K117R/K242R. (B) Photocurrent amplitudes measured at the V_{AP} (±5 mV). (C) R_{in} of channelrhodopsin-expressing cells. (D) Stationary photocurrents per fluorescence of EYFP-tagged constructs expressed in cultured neurons. (E) C1C2 structure depicting iC++ mutations. Numbering refers to N-terminal–truncated channel iC++. Corresponding positions in the original C1C2 structure are indicated in parentheses. (F) Structural model of the putative cytosolic ion gate in C1C2. Histidine 134 (173) (gray) was replaced by arginine in iC1C2 (orange) but not in iC++. (G) Voltage trace of a cultured neuron expressing iC++ mutants. APs were evoked by pulsed electrical inputs at 10 Hz (dotted line) and were inhibited by continuous light application (blue bar) for 4 s. (H) Inhibition probability of APs evoked by pulsed current injections (6 s, 10 Hz) with pulse widths of 30 ms (Left), and 5 ms (Right) under 4-s light application. Current inputs were individually titrated to the V_{AP}. Shorter pulses required stronger current amplitudes. Average: 30 ms: 202 ± 11 pA; 5 ms: 559 ± 40 pA. p_{iAP} = 7.3; p_{iAP} = 7.2; [Cl^-]_{int} = 147 mM, [Cl^-]_{ext} = 4 mM for entire figure. ***P < 0.005, ****P < 0.0001. Error bars indicate SEM; all values and numbers are listed in SI Appendix, Table S1.
here as “iC++” (with the “++” notation both indicating the next increment in the development of inhibitory channelrhodopsins and referencing the expected increase in approximately two positive charges in the pore resulting from the replacement of two additional glutamate residues). Protein expression levels of iC++ were comparable to those of iC1C2 (SI Appendix, Fig. S2), but the effective photocurrent size of iC++ was more than 16 times larger (Fig. 1D), whereas membrane properties of cells expressing iC++ remained comparable to those of eYFP-expressing cells (SI Appendix, Fig. S2).

We next carried out in vitro verification of the capability of iC++ to inhibit electrically evoked action potentials (APs) elicited by current injection. Because the use of continuously varying current ramps can cause confounds from use-dependent alteration in both channelrhodopsin function and neuronal membrane properties, we instead tested different current injection parameters independently, beginning with separate delivery of strong and fast (5-ms) or moderate and slow (30-ms) current injections, in each case titrated to the level needed to drive trains of APs faithfully in the recorded cell. iC++ demonstrated a robust spike inhibition capability, with particular advantages over iC1C2 in the setting of short 5-ms pulse widths where strong current amplitudes of >500 pA were required to induce spiking (Fig. 1G and H).

iC1C2 and iC++ are highly engineered (9 and 10 residues were replaced, respectively) variants of the cation-selective parental construct C1C2, with mutations chosen for the predicted ability to alter the electrostatic potential of the ion-conducting pore from predominantly negative to predominantly positive (7, 8, 11). From the crystal structure we had predicted the channelrhodopsin function and neuronal membrane properties, we instead tested different current injection parameters independently, beginning with separate delivery of strong and fast (5-ms) or moderate and slow (30-ms) current injections, in each case titrated to the level needed to drive trains of APs faithfully in the recorded cell. iC++ demonstrated a robust spike inhibition capability, with particular advantages over iC1C2 in the setting of short 5-ms pulse widths where strong current amplitudes of >500 pA were required to induce spiking (Fig. 1G and H).

iC1C2 and iC++ are highly engineered (9 and 10 residues were replaced, respectively) variants of the cation-selective parental construct C1C2, with mutations chosen for the predicted ability to alter the electrostatic potential of the ion-conducting pore from predominantly negative to predominantly positive (7, 8, 11). From the crystal structure we had predicted the channelrhodopsin function and neuronal membrane properties, we instead tested different current injection parameters independently.
that could be encountered in physiological systems, such as aspartate, bicarbonate, and gluconate (SI Appendix, Fig. S2).

**iC++ Performance Reflects Applied Chloride Gradients.** Next, we moved to acute slice recordings to challenge iC++ over a range of physiological chloride gradients within intact tissue. To our knowledge, no previous studies of chloride-conducting channelrhodopsins have varied chloride gradients, but photocurrents and consequently the inhibition of APs depend not only on the expression level in the plasma membranes but also on cytosolic and extracellular chloride concentrations, which vary among cell types, subcellular regions, and developmental stages (12–15). We constructed an adeno-associated viral vector (AAV) carrying the gene encoding iC++ fused to YFP and TS (the membrane-trafficking sequence used for optimizing microbial opsin expression in neurons; ref. 16), all under the control of the CaMKIIα promoter. At 4 wk postinjection into mouse medial prefrontal cortex (mPFC), robust fusion protein expression was observed (Fig. 4A). Cell membrane properties were not significantly different from those of control cells expressing only eYFP (SI Appendix, Fig. S3).

For physiological relevance to the chloride-driving forces encountered during optogenetic experimentation, photocurrents were measured at the membrane $V_{AP}$ for each cell. As expected from the HEK cell data (Fig. 3 B and C), channel function (assessed by $V_{rev}$ and photocurrent magnitude) faithfully tracked chloride gradients (Fig. 4B and C). To explore the ability of iC++ to inhibit spiking, distinct electrical current-injection protocols (titrated in strength to drive spiking in each individual cell reliably) were tested under different chloride gradients. APs elicited by steady current injections (which elicit more physiological, irregularly spaced spikes than do pulsed current injections) (Fig. 4D) were especially susceptible to complete inhibition by iC++ over a broad range of intracellular chloride levels (Fig. 4E). When APs were evoked by pulsed current injections, nearly complete spike inhibition was observed even with intracellular chloride elevated beyond the typical physiological range of 4–20 mM, although the inhibition in such cases could be partially overcome with extremely strong (0.8-nA) current injections (SI Appendix, Fig. S3).

**Next-Generation Step-Function Inhibitory Channelrhodopsin: SwiChR.**

One of the most useful properties of channel-type microbial opsins (compared with pumps) for optogenetics is the opportunity to create step-function opsin bistability (17), which allows orders-of-magnitude greater light sensitivity in expressing cells as well as lasting modulation without continuous light delivery (17, 18). Step-function iC12C2 variants, denoted “SwiChR_{C12A}” and “SwiChR_{C12T}” were reported previously (17), although the currents were low and efficacy was tested only in vitro. Here, building from the iC++ backbone, we introduced the CA mutation C128A (8), which decelerated iC++ closure ($\tau_{off,dark}$ = 12.1 ms ± 0.4 SEM) by 9,500-fold (resulting in SwiChR++ with $\tau_{off,dark}$ = 115 s ± 9 SEM) (Fig. 5 A–D). SwiChR++ could be deactivated immediately by red light ($\tau_{off,600\text{nm}}$ = 0.15 s ± 0.02 SEM), enabling temporally delimited bistable control over chloride...
conductance and neuronal inhibition (Fig. 5 B and D). SwiChR++ expressed in cultured hippocampal neurons exhibited higher photocurrents and a more negatively shifted $V_{rev}$ than SwiChRCA, which resulted in more effective AP inhibition (SI Appendix, Fig. S4). SwiChR++ also showed robust in vivo expression and bistable properties over long timescales in mPFC slices, in which $V_{rev}$, photocurrents, and AP inhibition also depended, as expected, on chloride gradients (Fig. 5 E–G).

**iC++ Inhibition of Neuronal Activity Across Diverse Cell Types and Brain Regions.** To examine iC++-mediated inhibition of fast-spiking interneurons, we performed in vivo extracellular recordings within the mPFC of anesthetized PV (parvalbumin): Cre mice injected with the Cre recombinase-dependent vector AAV8-EF1a::DIO-iC++-EYFP (SI Appendix, Fig. S5). Spontaneous activity of PV+ interneurons was inhibited by light (SI Appendix, Fig. S5), with no reduction in the spike frequency of principal (excitatory) mPFC neurons, as expected. To explore the application range of iC++ further, we tested the ability of iC++ to inhibit evoked APs within intact tissue, in this case elicited by the potent neuromodulator oxytocin, which has been shown to depolarize PV+ interneurons in the hippocampus selectively (19). We injected Cre-dependent AAV carrying iC++ into the hippocampus of PV:Cre mice, observing strong expression >4 wk postinjection (SI Appendix, Fig. S6). After confirming iC++ inhibition of electrically evoked APs in these cells (SI Appendix, Fig. S6), we applied [Thr4,Gly5]-oxytocin (TGOT) to depolarize iC++-expressing PV+ cells and drive spiking (SI Appendix, Fig. S6); light delivery during TGOT application hyperpolarized iC++-expressing cells and abolished all induced firing (SI Appendix, Fig. S6).

We broadened the validation to additional cell types, investigating iC++ function in dopaminergic neurons of acute slices from substantia nigra pars compacta and in cultured peripheral dorsal root ganglia neurons. APs were evoked by pulsed current injections (10-ms pulse width). Internal chloride concentrations were kept equal (12 mM) to allow study of inhibition independent of varying chloride gradients. Photocurrent amplitudes were comparable, indicating similar expression levels across all cell types. As before, inputs were titrated to reach the $V_{AP}$ reliably to account for differences between individual cells and cell types. Dopaminergic, dorsal root ganglia, and PV cells all spiked with high reliability in response to moderate current injection (100 pA, consistent with relatively depolarized resting membrane potential ($V_{rest}$)), and this spiking was blocked with high efficiency by iC++ (SI Appendix, Fig. S6).

**Direct Comparison of iC++ and eNpHR3.0 in Vitro: Higher Inhibition Efficiency of iC++.** We next carried out a direct comparison with the commonly used chloride pump halorhodopsin engineered from Natromonas pharaonis (eNpHR3.0). We delivered AAV8 encoding iC++, eNpHR3.0 (16), or eYFP into the mPFC of mice and assayed expression and activity 4 wk postinjection using patch-clamp electrophysiology in acute slices. The mean $R_{in}$ observed in each condition was similar (SI Appendix, Fig. S3). We began by testing inhibition efficiency in response to continuous current injection titrated in a stepwise manner (SI Appendix, SI Methods) and challenged with 2-s light pulses to drive opsin expression (SI Appendix, Fig. S7 A and B).

![Fig. 5. SwiChR++ characterization in HEK293 cells and neurons from acute mPFC slices. (A) Photocurrent traces of iC++ (red) and SwiChR++ (black) showing the time course of the channel closure. (Left) Traces were recorded in HEK293 cells at 0 mV in response to brief application of blue light (blue arrow). (Right) The same recordings at higher time resolution, depicting the biexponential channel closure of iC++ with a dominant fast component ($\tau_{fast}$) and a slow component ($\tau_{slow}$). (B) Photocurrent of SwiChR++ upon the application of blue light (blue bar) and delayed red light (red bar), which accelerates channel deactivation. (C, Left) Tau values for biexponential channel closure of iC++. (Right) Amplitude ratios for the fast ($\tau_{fast}$) and slow ($\tau_{slow}$) components. (D) Tau values for the monoexponential channel closure of SwiChR++ in the dark and with the application of light (600 nm). (E) Confocal image of SwiChR++ expression in mouse mPFC 5 wk after virus injection. (Scale bar: 100 μm.) (F) Voltage trace of an SwiChR++-expressing pyramidal neuron showing APs evoked by continuous current injections (black bar) and inhibition for 10 s upon light application for 1 s (blue bar). Spiking recovers upon red light stimulation (red bar). (G) Spike frequency before (0.5 s), during (10 s), and after (2 s) SwiChR activation at varying intracellular chloride concentrations. Input currents were titrated individually to reach the $V_{AP}$; average: 205 ± 27 pA. n.s.: $P > 0.05$; ****$P < 0.0001$. Error bars indicate SEM; all values and numbers are listed in SI Appendix, Table S4.]
Pyramidal cells are especially susceptible to becoming refractory to spiking when large inputs are applied, because of depolarization block. Although pyramidal cells are common targets of optogenetic intervention, this property complicates the assessment of inhibition mediated by opsins when challenged with strong inputs; therefore during titration we did not increase the amplitude of the current input further when the depolarization-blocked potential was reached under light. We found that light delivered to more than 90% of iC++-expressing cells could inhibit any current input tested; conversely, all the eNpHR3.0-expressing cells started to spike at some current amplitude under light (SI Appendix, Fig. S7 D and E). Moreover, eNpHR3.0-expressing cells could inhibit only responses to input currents that were equal to the magnitude of eNpHR3.0 photocurrent; in contrast, iC++-expressing cells were at least seven times more efficient and exhibited a prominent light-induced reduction in $R_{in}$ (SI Appendix, Fig. S7F). As a result, iC++-expressing cells weakened the impact of current inputs more efficiently than pump-expressing cells, with larger current inputs necessary to evoke APs during illumination (SI Appendix, Fig. S7 G and H). Similar results were observed with pulsed current injection; iC++-expressing cells inhibited a much wider range of input current amplitudes (SI Appendix, Fig. S7I). The main mechanism of this efficient inhibition from iC++ is likely via shunting inhibition, because the $V_{es} < V_{AP}$ and $V_{es}$ (revealing that the inhibition is not driven by strong hyperpolarization). Reduction in $R_{in}$ through iC++ activation will reduce excitability, just as seen with endogenous chloride-conducting channels such as GABA$_A$ receptors, providing efficient inhibition via shunting of excitatory inputs (20).

**Behavioral Comparison of iC++, eNpHR3.0, and eArch3.0: Real-Time Place-Aversion Test.** We next sought to determine if iC++-mediated inhibition would be capable of modifying behavior in freely moving, nonanesthetized animals. We injected AAVs encoding iC++ or, for comparison, eNpHR3.0 or eArch3.0 (21), into the ventral tegmental area (VTA) of DAT::Cre mice for expression in dopaminergic neurons (Fig. 6A and SI Appendix, Fig. S8). Four weeks later, we optogenetically inhibited VTA dopamine neurons via implanted optical fibers to assess the ability of each opsin to drive behavioral changes in a real-time place-aversion assay. Consistent with prior work (22, 23), we observed robust avoidance of the light-paired compartment at multiple light intensities in all groups (two-way repeated-measures ANOVA, main effect of light power, $F_{2, 18} = 64.529, P < 0.001$; Holm–Sidak post hoc tests, $P < 0.005$). Thus, iC++ is suitable for use in vivo to achieve behaviorally relevant alteration of neural activity.

**Inhibition of Memory Retrieval: Potent Behavioral Efficacy of iC++.** To test behavioral efficacy further, we used a well-validated assay for memory (involving neuronal allocation to participation in...
memory engrams). Neurons in the lateral nucleus of the amygdala (LA) are allocated by local circuitry to become part of the engram supporting a discrete cued fear memory (24, 25) in which a tone is paired with a footshock (26–28). Increasing the function of the transcription factor CREB (Ca2+/cAMP response element-binding protein) in individual pyramidal (principal) LA neurons increases the likelihood that the CREB-enhanced neuron will be allocated to a memory engram (24, 25, 29); post-training genetic ablation of LA neurons overexpressing CREB (but not ablation of a comparable number of random LA neurons) impairs memory expression (29).

Optogenetic inhibition of neurons overexpressing CREB was tested for the elicitation of reversible impairment of memory expression (Fig. 7A). The short time course of this manipulation allowed comparison of tone-induced freezing behavior in individual mice in the presence and absence of optical inhibition (Fig. 7B). We used viral vectors expressing vCREB and iC++, vCREB and iC1C2, or vCREB and eNpHR3.0 (or each inhibitory opsin on its own) to transduce a small portion (~15%) of the LA pyramidal neurons before fear conditioning and tested mice in both the presence and absence of light. Optogenetic inhibition of vCREB neurons using iC++, iC1C2, or eNpHR3.0 significantly reduced tone-induced freezing, whereas silencing a similar number of neurons not overexpressing CREB had no effect (light [on, off] × virus ANOVA yielded a significant interaction, F5,52 = 11.83, P < 0.001] (Fig. 7C). Post hoc analyses on the significant interaction showed that light significantly decreased freezing in the vCREB/iC++, vCREB/iC1C2, and vCREB/eNpHR3.0 groups (P < 0.001) but not in the iC++, iC1C2, eNpHR3.0, or vCREB-only groups (P > 0.05). Of interest, the total freezing scores in the vCREB/iC++ group were even lower than those of the vCREB/iC1C2 group in the light-on condition (P < 0.05). However, considering the baseline freezing when light was off, the reduction in freezing was not significantly different among the vCREB/iC++, vCREB/iC1C2, and vCREB/eNpHR3.0 groups (P > 0.05). These results support the interpretation that silencing neurons overexpressing CREB at the time of training disrupts memory expression and demonstrate the initial behavioral efficacy of chloride-conducting channelrhodopsin-mediated optogenetic inhibition.

Discussion

Here we describe the engineering of enhanced light-gated chloride channels (iC++ and SwiChr++) by structure-guided modification of ion selectivity, show improved efficiency for optical inhibition of neurons with these proteins, and demonstrate initial behavioral control in freely moving mammals. The earlier iC1C2 channel had shown increased chloride conductivity at low pH values, suggesting that more improvement might be seen under more neutral pH values. We hypothesized that this pH dependency resulted from basic residues in the pore region of iC1C2, with higher probability of protonation under acidic conditions that established favorable electrostatic interactions for chloride ions. By removing more negatively charged residues from the ion-conducting pore and introducing two arginines, we increased the chloride selectivity and electrically charged residues from the ion-conducting pore and in-

Acids at key positions within the ion pore equivalent to those in the earlier designed and reported iC1C2 and in iC++ (11).

In addition to testing the hypothesized mechanisms underlying ion selectivity of channelrhodopsins, we also explored the utility of these tools for neuronal inhibition. We observed that, because of stronger shunting, the chloride channel iC++ inhibited APs more efficiently than the chloride pump eNpHR3.0. Although optogenetic inhibitory pumps display more extreme Vrev than the inhibitory channels described here, the pumps can hyperpolarize cells far beyond physiological levels, causing unstable membrane properties (21). This effect can be reduced by lowering light intensity, although this strategy also severely reduces the volume of tissue inhibited by the pumps, which are less light sensitive than channels and, unlike the channels, cannot be converted to a more light-sensitive bistable step-function form. In addition, microbial opsin pump currents will not show the influence of ion gradients reported here for channels; such gradients can differ across cell types, subcellular regions, developmental stages, and pathologic states (12–15, 30), even giving rise to excitation under some conditions. For the same reason, iC++ currents will mirror the physiological effects of endogenous open chloride channels more precisely (31, 32).

iC++ and related channels (33) represent a different class of optogenetic tool, which, like any reagent in biology, should be selected based on suitability for preparation and hypothesis. Here we demonstrate the initial use of chloride-conducting channelrhodopsins in the behavior of freely moving animals performing tasks involving the VTA and LA. Beyond this generation of these optogenetic inhibitors that take advantage of physiological ion gradients rather than pumping ions unidirectionally, iC++ and related tools (8–10, 33) also lay the groundwork for a deeper understanding of structure–function relationships involving the light-gated ion conductance pathway of channelrhodopsins. Along with prior work on chloride channel engineering (11, 34–36), this second round of predictive validation of the crystal structure-guided electrostatic model for the channelrhodopsin pore (7, 8, 11) provides basic insight into the operation of the microbial opsin channel proteins.

Materials and Methods

Data analysis and all in vitro methods, including cloning of vectors, virus production, preparation and transfection of cultured neurons and HEK cells, histology, and in vitro electrophysiology, are described in detail in SI Appendix, SI Methods. Likewise all methods related to animal subject experimentation, including acute slice preparation and physiology, stereotactic surgery, in vivo electrophysiology, and behavioral tests in freely moving animals, are described in SI Appendix, SI Methods. All animal procedures were approved by the Stanford Administrative Panel on Laboratory Animal Care and are in accordance with the National Institutes of Health guidelines for animal research (37).
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